

**Keith R. Wilson
1116 North Baldwin Drive
North Massapequa N.Y. 11758**

January 22, 2017

**U.S. Supreme Court
Att: Mr. John Roberts
Chief Justice
1 First Street
Washington D.C. 20543**

Dear Mr. Chief Justice Roberts,

My name is Keith Wilson and for many years I have been very active in the right to life movement in my areas of Long Island and New York State. The dreaded decision by your predecessors to legalize abortion in this country in 1973 and then codify it even more in DOE V. BOLTON was extreme to say the least. I have always prayed that in their hearts at that time in history they felt they were doing the right thing under the constitution as to privacy and for the good of all the American people.

I am well aware that you and your other 8 colleagues are cognizant that for 43 years this has been what some would call the scourge of our time due to the fact that this issue has divided our country more than war or other major issues that have come before either the court or our congress. **This also can be considered a defining moment in our history!**

When the decision of 7 of the 9 justices legalized ROE in 1973 even that vote albeit a majority, was not unanimous as two justices dissented in that opinion. The mere fact that there were dissenters showed as in other court decisions that there would be far reaching consequences if this decision stood. I truly wish that Justices Rehnquist and White had 3 other Justices that would have joined them as dissenters and this may have not become law at that or any other time.

This decision has also caused many State Legislatures to attempt, by virtue of the legislative process to enact hundreds of restrictions in this area in order to curtail abortion in their respective States. Some of which have come before the court and have been either upheld or struck down by the very court you now chair.

The voluminous information on all this is certainly obtainable by google or the different website attributed to each of the State Government websites. I have enclosed some samples for you to review with this mailing for you and your colleagues. I am sure you may have seen these before, but wanted to send this with the mailing.

I must also tell you that this is a personal issue for me as my younger sister was married in 1995 and soon after discovered that she could not have children. I observed very closely how this affected her and I soon got active in the Pro Life Arena. The adoption option was not applicable due to the cost of adoption vs. the inexpensive decision of abortion. She to this day has no children and very much regrets that. This is mentioned because of the irony that exists between those who want children and cannot vs. those who can conceive and abort the baby under the current laws.

There are some very important circumstances that have arisen in the past 43 years that have changed the way this issue is looked at can be adjudicated with for discovery purposes. I would like to highlight them for you in this letter.

- **Ultrasound and sonograms that show there is a life in the womb!!**
- Many of the current youth generation that is strongly Pro Life.
- Many that has spoken out against this law that were conceived in rape or incest that have spoken at symposiums on their own history.
- Crisis Pregnancy centers that have assisted thousands of men and women through this as they experienced unplanned pregnancies.
- The recent Planned Parenthood scandal of videos showing the harvesting and sales of aborted tissue.(illegal)
- The fact that many state Legislatures has defeated proposals by liberal Governors to make abortion on demand stronger then ROE in their respective states.
- The fact that the late Norma McCorvey who was Jane Roe in the Famed 1973 case had since become pro-life.
- The fact that one of the most famous abortionists in the country, before passing, Dr. Bernard Nathanson, who performed hundreds of abortions, later became Pro-life.
- One of the former Governors in New York State Hugh Carey, later also became Pro-life in his beliefs and morals.
- Many doctors and nurses protected by conscience laws that allow them to opt out due to religious beliefs they hold that will not accept any less than life.
- Science showing many that have evolved in this issue that life truly begins at conception.
- Federal and State Public Official candidates that have run on the Right to Life platform for those positions they now hold.
- Many that have voted to protect human life by voting against legalization of Partial birth abortion and Physician Assisted Suicide.
- Knights of Columbus Ultrasound Incentive Program where local councils partially fund this machine for Crisis Pregnancy centers and the Supreme Home Office in Connecticut assists with the expense to save lives of the baby in the womb by showing the mother the baby moving inside her and viable.

- The hundreds of thousands of people that have witnessed at life centers and abortuaries in the country and even been arrested for their deeply held beliefs.
- The hundreds of thousands of people that attend annually the March for Life in Washington DC to express their support for all Natural Human Life from the Womb to the tomb.
- The many elected Officials that are in current office and are strongly Pro life. The majority of the current House and Senate are Pro-Life.
- The number of adoptions that have been facilitated since ROE that have been finalized.
- The fact that many political polls show that the country wants to be governed Right of Center which would indicate a majority being pro-life.
- Unwanted pregnancies and abortions less now than in recent years.
- 61,000,000 unborn viable lives taken since the decision was legalized
- The number of Baby Safe Havens that exist that allow women to have their babies and drop them off safely for adoption and care with no legal ramifications.
- Many other examples too numerous to mention.

The highlighting of these is done as to point out the many advances that have been made in the Right to Life area since ROE was decided.

I think one of the most interesting points about this is that when the Senate Judiciary Committee interviews Prospective Supreme Court nominees as they come about , when asked about choice and ROE, they use the words **“This is Settled Law”**. Please understand I am not judging any justice or explanations given nor am I a lawyer but I would suggest that settled law would indicate that this case can never be heard again, and I believe it could be if the court felt the need to take it up. This may be done for judicial confirmation purposes and I understand that but when on the court I would assume discretion could win over this and allow the case to be reheard.

Please understand I am also aware of some of the horrific things that have happened by some on the prolife side, like planned parenthood killings as well as doctors who perform abortions that have been hurt or killed and these are quite disturbing and no decent prolifer could ever condone these terrible actions nor should they be carried out by the individuals involved.

This notwithstanding, the age of the first cycle of those that were aborted in 1973 would now be 43 years and they would now probably be productive American citizens and contributing to our society as a whole.

There was also a question as to viability when this was decided in 1973, and I would believe that after all the medical advances that have been made that viability would be at conception and that if the fetus is viable at conception then the constitution giving us the ***”right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness”*** would apply and death to an unborn but vital fetus should not be an option and illegal.

Many will say that before ROE the infamous back alley abortions were extreme and many women died and were not handled correctly. The other point being that many doctors performed illegal unsafe abortions, however at that time not being law, were they illegal because ROE was not decided or because they were viewed before ROE as innocent Human Life and should be allowed to be born??

The argument could be made that the court overreached then and while voting to legalize in the first trimester, for health and safe medical reasons, they did not account for the life that was in there and may be that was why the procedure was illegal until then. The other important matter is that doctors take oaths to save lives not kill them at viability and this could now due to medical advances, be argued to be legal killing and should be overturned for that very matter. Some of us who do not favor gay marriage might even argue that while DOMA was declared unconstitutional recently that while same sex couples may not be the most appropriate setting, that even they have adopted children and raise those that were not aborted.

Mr. Chief Justice, it is for these and other reasons that in all due respect and admiration for the high prestigious and demanding position you and the associate justices hold, that after reviewing this package that I have sent, that the decision might be made to once again hear the Roe V. Wade case and possibly for the right reasons and after 43 years look to overturn this landmark case and allow innocent human viable life to be born in the most respectful and legal matter and laws such as men and women being advocated for adoption and other life alternatives be enacted and more widely respected and held.

I thank you for the time you took to read this letter and reviewing the contents included.

God bless you and the other justices as you deliberate for the good of this country.

Keith Wilson

Attachments